MINIMAL COMPLEMENTARY SETS

BY

GERALD WEINSTEIN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a group on which a measure m is defined. If $A, B \subset G$ we define $A \oplus B = C = \{c | c = a + b, a \in A, b \in B\}$. By $A_k \subset G$ we denote a subset of G consisting of k elements. Given A_k we define $s(A_k) = \inf m\{B|B \subset G, A_k \oplus B = G\}$ and $c_k = \sup_{A_k \subset G} s(A_k)$. Theorems 1, 2, and 3 deal with the problem of determining c_k .

In the dual problem we are given B, m(B) > 0, and required to find minimal A such that $A \oplus B = G$ or, sometimes, $m(A \oplus B) = m(G)$. Theorems 5 and 6 deal with this problem.

Let A and B be sets of nonnegative integers, with $0 \in A$. The set B is called a complement of A if each nonnegative integer is expressible in the form a + b $(a \in A, b \in B)$. One of the basic problems in additive number theory is the determination, for a prescribed A, of a complement B that is in some sense minimal. Erdös [1] and Lorentz [2] have discussed some problems and concepts for the case where A is an infinite set; D. J. Newman [3] has dealt with finite sets A. We have also obtained some results for the case where A is finite, and they will appear elsewhere [5]. Here we generalize this concept in several respects.

Let G be a group on which a measure m is defined. If A, $B \subseteq G$ we define $A \oplus B = \{c | c = a + b, a \in A, b \in B\}$. By $A_k \subseteq G$ we denote a subset of G consisting of k elements. Given nonempty A we can find B such that $A \oplus B = G$. We then say that A and B are complementary and render the situation asymmetrical by thinking of A as a set of translates and B as a set which is to be translated so that the union of its translates covers G.

Given A_k one may ask for the set B such that $A_k \oplus B = G$ and m(B) is a minimum. More precisely, we define $s(A_k) = \inf m\{B|B \subset G, A_k \oplus B = G\}$. It is then natural to seek $s(A_k)$ for the "worst" A_k , i.e. $s(A_k)$ corresponding to the set of shifts which necessitates the "biggest" complementary set. We so define $c_k = \sup_{A_k \subset G} s(A_k)$. Theorems 1, 2, and 3 deal with the problem of determining c_k .

In the dual problem we are given B, m(B) > 0, and asked to find minimal A such that $A \oplus B = G$ or, sometimes, $m(A \oplus B) = m(G)$. As before, we seek

Received by the editors May 23, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10J99; Secondary 10E30.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

the "worst" B, i.e., the B of given measure which necessitates the "largest" A. The results obtained in connection with this problem are somewhat surprising. If the question asked by Erdös could be answered in the affirmative they would be even more surprising. Theorems 5 and 6 deal with the dual problem.

DEFINITION. $C_k = \sup_G \max_{A_k} \min_B d(B)$, where G is a finite group containing at least k elements, A_k is a k element subset of G, and B is a complement of A_k in G, i.e., $A_k \oplus B = G$.

Theorem 1. $C_k < (\log k + 2)/k$.

PROOF. The proof that D. J. Newman [3] gives to show $c_k \le (1 + \log k)/k$ is applicable here with slight change.

Let G be a group of N elements, N > k, and $A_k \subset G$, where $A_k = \{0 = a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$. For each element $a_n \in G$ we denote by U_n the set of elements $-a_1 + a_n, -a_2 + a_n, \ldots, -a_k + a_n$. U represents an unspecified class U_n and T denotes an unspecified set of K elements. Clearly, there are $\binom{N}{K}$ sets T, and for each n, exactly $\binom{N-k}{K}$ of these sets do not meet the set U_n . Since there are at most N different sets U_n , it follows that there are at most $N\binom{N-k}{K}$ disjoint pairs T, U. Consequently, at least one of the sets T misses at most

$$N\binom{N-k}{K}/\binom{N}{K}$$

of the sets U_n . Let S consist of such a set T, together with all elements a_n for which $T \cap U_n = \emptyset$.

To see that S is a complement of A, let $a_m \in G$. If $T \cap U_m = \emptyset$, we have the representation $a_m = 0 + a_m$ $(0 \in A, a_m \in S)$.

If $T \cap U_m$ contains some element $-a_i + a_m$, we have the representation $a_m = a_i + (-a_i + a_m)$, $(a_i \in A, -a_i + a_m \in S)$.

We now choose K such that $N(\log k/k) < K < N(\log k/k) + 1$ and proceed to obtain an upper bound for the density of S:

$$\begin{split} d(S) & \leq d(T) + N \binom{N-k}{K} \bigg/ \binom{N}{K} \cdot \frac{1}{N} = \frac{K}{N} + \binom{N-K}{K} \bigg/ \binom{N}{K} \\ & \leq \frac{K}{N} + \left(1 - \frac{k}{N}\right)^K \leq \frac{K}{N} + e^{-kK/N} \\ & \leq \frac{N(\log k/k) + 1}{N} + e^{-(k/N)(N\log k/k)} = \frac{\log k}{k} + \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{k} \\ & \leq \frac{\log k + 2}{k} \ . \end{split}$$

This proves the assertion.

THEOREM 2. Let T^2 be the 2-dimensional torus whose points are 2-tuples (x_1, x_2) and where addition of points is modulo 1. Let $A_k = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$ be an arbitrary set of k distinct points in T^2 . Then we can find a set $B \subset T^2$ such that $A_k \oplus B = T^2$ and $m(B) \leq K_2((\log k + 2)/k)$, where K_2 is a constant.

PROOF. Let L_n consist of all points $r_i = (p_i/n, q_i/n)$, where p_i , q_i are integers, $0 \le p_i < n$, $0 \le q_i < n$. Clearly L_n is a finite subgroup of T^2 . Moreover, we may think of L_n as partitioning T^2 into little squares, S_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n^2$, of side 1/n. We assign to each square the index i assumed by the point of its lower left-hand corner r_i .

Now for each point $a_j \in A_k$ there is at least one closest point in L_n . Let r_{i_j} be such a point. This process must result in the assignment of k different points of L_n if n is sufficiently large. If we define $A'_k = \{r_{i_1}, r_{i_2}, \ldots, r_{i_k}\}$, the set of grid points closest to A_k , then by Theorem 1 we can find B', another subset of L_n , where $A'_k \oplus B' = L_n$ and $|B'| \leq ((\log k + 2)/k)n^2$. If we now define \overline{B} as the set of squares whose lower left-hand points are the elements of B', then clearly $A'_k \oplus \overline{B} = T^2$ and $m(\overline{B}) \leq (\log k + 2)/k$.

If $a_j\in A_k$, r_{i_j} is its closest point in L_n , and S_m is an arbitrary square in \overline{B} , then $r_{i_j}\oplus S_m$ exactly covers some other square $S_{m'}$ but $a_j\oplus S_m$, while intersecting $S_{m'}$, will not completely cover it. Hence, S_m must be enlarged if we wish $S_{m'}\subset a_j\oplus S_m$ and it is certainly sufficient to double the length of each side of S_m while preserving its center. If we perform this operation for every square in \overline{B} and call the set of enlarged squares B, then $A_k\oplus B=T^2$ and $m(B)\leqslant 4((\log k+2)/k)$. This proves the assertion.

COROLLARY. If T^n is the n-dimensional torus and A_k is an arbitrary set of k points in T^n , then we can find a set $B \subset T^n$ such that $A_k \oplus B = T^n$ and $m(B) \leq K_n(\log k/k)$, where $K_n \leq 2^n$.

PROOF. Essentially the same as above.

Note. If the points in A_k are all rational then they are all elements of L_n for some n. Hence, no enlargement is necessary and we may take $K_n = 1$.

THEOREM 3. Let G be a compact, completely separable topological group and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $B \subset G$ with $m(B) < \epsilon$ such that for all $A \subset G$ with $(\overline{A})^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ we have $A \cdot B = B \cdot A = G$.

PROOF. Let $Z=\{z_1,z_2,\cdots\}$ be a dense denumerable subset of G and $Z^{-1}=\{z_1^{-1},z_2^{-1},\cdots\}$ the set of its inverses. Let T_i be an open set such that $z_i^{-1}\in T_i$ and $m(T_i)<\epsilon/2^{i+1}$ and let S_i be an open set such that $z_i\in S_i$, $S_i^{-1}\subset T_i$, and $m(S_i)<\epsilon/2^{i+1}$, for $i=1,2,\cdots$. Define

$$S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i, \quad T = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i.$$

Clearly $m(S) < \epsilon/2$, $m(T) < \epsilon/2$, and $S^{-1} \subset T$.

We first show $xA \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in G$. Clearly $x(\overline{A})^0 \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. So, for some $i, z_i \in x(\overline{A})^0 \cap Z$. Since z_i is a limit point of xA, S_i must contain a point of xA; hence $xA \cap S \neq \emptyset$. The same argument shows that $Ax \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in G$.

Now let $B = S \cup T$. We have $xA \cap S \neq \emptyset$, $x \in G \Rightarrow A \cap xS \neq \emptyset$, $x \in G$, $\Rightarrow xs = a \Rightarrow x = as^{-1}$ has a solution for every x, with $a \in A$, $s^{-1} \in T \subset B$. Hence $A \cdot B = G$.

Similarly, $Ax \cap S \neq \emptyset$, $x \in G$, $\Rightarrow A \cap Sx \neq \emptyset$, $x \in G$, $\Rightarrow sx = a \Rightarrow x = s^{-1}a$ has a solution for every x, with $s^{-1} \in T \subset B$, $a \in A$. Hence $B \cdot A = G$. Since $m(B) < \epsilon$ this proves the theorem.

DEFINITION. $\Delta_x^B = B \cup B_x - B \cap B_x$ where $B_x = x \oplus B \pmod{1}$.

THEOREM 4. Let $B \subset [0, 1)$ and $m(B) = \epsilon > 0$. Then, if $m(\Delta_x^B) = 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1)$, $\epsilon = 1$.

PROOF. We first note that $m(B \cup B_x) \ge \epsilon$ and $m(B \cap B_x) \le \epsilon$ so that $m(\Delta_x^B) = 0$ implies $m(B \cup B_x) = \epsilon$.

Suppose there exists an interval (α, β) such that $m(B \cap (\alpha, \beta)) = 0$. Then we can find x such that $m(B_x \cap (\alpha, \beta)) > 0$. This implies $m(B \cup B_x) > \epsilon$ which implies $m(\Delta_x^B) > 0$. The contradiction shows that for every interval (α, β) we have $m(B \cap (\alpha, \beta)) > 0$.

Suppose $E \subset [0, 1)$ is such that $m(B \cap E) = \delta$. If there exists x such that $m(B_x \cap E) \neq \delta$ then again this implies $m(B \cup B_x) > \epsilon$. Hence if $m(B \cap E) = \delta$ then $m(B_x \cap E) = \delta$ for all $x \in [0, 1)$.

So if $\beta - \alpha = 1/n$, $m(B \cap (\alpha, \beta)) = \epsilon \cdot 1/n$ because we can partition [0, 1) into n nonoverlapping intervals of length 1/n. Similarly, if $\beta - \alpha = 1/(n + \theta)$, $0 \le \theta < 1$, then $m(B \cap (\alpha, \beta)) > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon/(n + \theta)$. Hence, by a result of Titchmarsh m(B) = 1.

Note. In all that follows addition is mod 1.

THEOREM 5(A). For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $B \subset [0, 1)$ such that $m(B) \ge 1 - \epsilon$ and $m(A \oplus B) = 1$ implies A is infinite.

THEOREM 5(B). For every $B \subset [0, 1)$, m(B) > 0, we can find A such that $m(A \oplus B) = 1$ and A is denumerable.

PROOF OF (A). Suppose $B \subset [0, 1)$ is nowhere dense and m(B) = 1. Then $m(\overline{B}) = 1$ implies $(\overline{B})'$ is open and $m(\overline{B}') = 0$. Only \emptyset is open and has measure zero so $\overline{B} = [0, 1)$ and the contradiction shows there does not exist a nowhere dense set, in [0, 1), of measure 1.

It is well known that the class of all nowhere dense subsets of a metric space is a finitely additive class.

By changing the lengths of the extracted intervals in the construction of the Cantor ternary set, we can construct a perfect nowhere dense set B in [0, 1), which has measure greater than $1 - \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Hence, if A is any finite point set in [0, 1) then $C = A \oplus B$ is nowhere dense and therefore m(C) < 1.

PROOF OF (B). Denote by $\bigcup B_{x_i}$ the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i + B$, where $\{x_i\}$ is an infinite sequence in [0, 1).

Let $\alpha = \sup m(\bigcup B_{x_i})$ where the sup is over all such sequences. Then, for every n we can find a sequence $\{x_i^{(n)}\}$ such that $m(\bigcup B_{x_i}^{(n)}) \ge \alpha - 1/n$. Clearly, $m(\bigcup_n \bigcup B_{x_i}^{(n)}) = \alpha$ so that the sup is actually attained for some denumerable sequence $\{x_i^{(0)}\}$. Now we write $\beta = \bigcup B_{x_i}^{(0)}$ and note that we have just proved $m(\Delta_x^{\beta}) = 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1)$ and so, by Theorem 4, we have $\alpha = m(\beta) = 1$. If we let $A = \bigcup x_i^{(0)}$ then $m(A \oplus B) = 1$ and A is denumerable.

Note. All sets are subsets of I = [0, 1).

THEOREM 6. (A) There exists $B \in Cat$. II, m(B) = 1 such that $A \oplus B = I$ implies A is infinite.

(B) On the other hand, for every B, m(B) > 0, we can find A such that $A \oplus B = I$ and m(A) = 0.

PROOF OF (A). Every $x \in [0, 1)$ can be written $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k/n^k$, $0 \le a_k < n$, $n \ge 2$. Let X_n be the class of numbers $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k/n^k$, $0 \le a_k < n-1$, $n \ge 2$. Clearly $m(X_n) = 0$. Define $B' = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} X_n$. From this it follows that m(B) = 1. Since X_n is perfect and nowhere dense, $B' \in \text{Cat}$. I and hence B is a residual set.

Suppose B and any 2 shifts of B fail to cover I. Then for any pair $x_1, x_2 \in I$ we can find $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in B'$ such that

$$x_1 + d_1 = d_3, \quad x_2 + d_2 = d_3.$$

It is also clear that this condition is *sufficient* to guarantee that B and any 2 shifts of B fail to cover I.

We can generalize this by stating the following: a necessary and sufficient condition that B and any m shifts of B fail to cover I is that for any m elements of $I: x_1, \ldots, x_m$, there exist m + 1 elements in $B': d_1, \ldots, d_{m+1}$, such that

$$x_1 + d_1 = d_{m+1}, x_2 + d_2 = d_{m+1}, \ldots, x_m + d_m = d_{m+1}.$$

In fact we can already find these m+1 elements: d_1, \ldots, d_{m+1} , in X_n if only n > m+1.

If we denote by $x_{i,1}x_{i,2}\cdots x_{i,k}\cdots$ the number

$$x_j = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} x_{j,q} / n^q,$$

and denote by $d_{k,1}d_{k,2}\cdots d_{k,r}\cdots$ the number

$$d_k = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} d_{k,q}/n^q,$$

then the above claim is equivalent to stating that the congruences:

(1)
$$x_{1,i} + d_{1,i} + p_{1,i} \equiv d_{m+1,i} \pmod{n}$$
$$x_{2,i} + d_{2,i} + p_{2,i} \equiv d_{m+1,i} \pmod{n}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x_{m,i} + d_{m,i} + p_{m,i} \equiv d_{m+1,i} \pmod{n}$$

are solvable subject to the constraints $0 \le d_{k,i} < n-1$, $1 \le k \le m+1$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Recall that $0 \le x_{k,i} < n$. Now $p_{j,i} = 1$ if $x_{j,i+1} + d_{j,i+1} + p_{j,i+1} \ge n$; $p_{j,i} = 0$ otherwise.

Assume that $p_{j,i'}$ $j=1,\ldots,m$, have been determined. Then for $x_{1,i}$ there are at least n-2 possible values for $d_{m+1,i}$. Only the values of $d_{m+1,i}$ such that $x_{1,i} + (n-1) + p_{1,j} \equiv d_{m+1,i} \pmod{n}$ and $d_{m+1,i} = (n-1)$ are inadmissible. Of these n-2 possible values exactly n-3 are still possible solutions for $x_{2,i}$ and so, by the time we reach $x_{m,i}$, there are still n-m-1 possible values for $d_{m+1,i}$. Since we assumed n>m+1 the assertion is proved.

We have shown that no finite set of shifts of B covers I. Since B is a residual set, and therefore of Cat. II, the theorem is proved.

PROOF OF (B). By Theorem 5(B) we can find F such that $m(F \oplus B) = 1$ and F is denumerable. We can also add one element to F, if necessary, so that $0 \in C = F \oplus B$. Then m(C') = 0 and we define $\widetilde{C} = C' \cup \{0\}$. Then $I = \widetilde{C} \oplus C = \widetilde{C} \oplus F \oplus B = A \oplus B$ if we define $A = \widetilde{C} \oplus F$. Since F is denumerable and $m(\widetilde{C}) = 0$ we have m(A) = 0.

Note. P. Erdös asks [4] whether, in Theorem 6(A), infinite can be changed to nondenumerable.

Acknowledgement. This paper is part of the author's doctoral dissertation, completed at Yeshiva University under the direction of Professor D. J. Newman. The author expresses his appreciation to Professor Newman for his advice and encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. Erdös, Some results on additive number theory, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 847-853. MR 16, 336.
- 2. G. G. Lorentz, On a problem of additive number theory, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 838-841. MR 16, 113.
- 3. D. J. Newman, Complements of finite sets of integers, Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967), 481-486. MR 36 #1411.
 - 4. P. Erdős, Private communication.
- 5. G. Weinstein, Some covering and packing results in number theory, J. Number Theory (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CITY COLLEGE (CUNY), NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10031